CALGARY ASSESSMENT REVIEW BOARD DECISION WITH REASONS

In the matter of the complaint against the property assessment as provided by the *Municipal Government Act*, Chapter M-26, Section 460, Revised Statutes of Alberta 2000 (the Act).

between:

Trammell Crow Company (as represented by Altus Group Ltd.), COMPLAINANT

and

The City Of Calgary, RESPONDENT

before:

L Wood, PRESIDING OFFICER
R. Deschaine, MEMBER
K. Farn, MEMBER

This is a complaint to the Calgary Assessment Review Board in respect of a property assessment prepared by the Assessor of The City of Calgary and entered in the 2011 Assessment Roll as follows:

ROLL NUMBER:

201354636

LOCATION ADDRESS:

45 AERO DR NE

HEARING NUMBER:

64297

ASSESSMENT:

\$16,230,000

This complaint was heard on 24 day of October, 2011 at the office of the Assessment Review Board located at Floor Number 3, 1212 – 31 Avenue NE, Calgary, Alberta, Boardroom 10.

Appeared on behalf of the Complainant:

• Ms. D. Chabot

Agent, Altus Group Ltd.

Appeared on behalf of the Respondent:

Mr. K. Buckry

Assessor, City of Calgary

Board's Decision in Respect of Procedural or Jurisdictional Matters:

The subject property is a multi building industrial warehouse site located at the Calgary International Airport. It is comprised of two multi tenant industrial warehouses, both built in 2009. The warehouses are 65,000 sq. ft. and 101,087 sq. ft. and are situated on 14.8 acres of land. The site coverage ratio is 25.8%. The warehouses were assessed at \$6,352,629 and \$9,879,511 respectively.

The subject property was assessed based on the Income Approach to value.

At the commencement of the hearing, the Respondent indicated that both multi tenant warehouses were assessed at \$8.25 psf. However, since both warehouses contain developed and undeveloped areas, he acknowledged that two different assessed rates should apply. The Respondent agreed with the Complainant's calculation of deducting \$0.75 psf from the \$8.25 psf rate to derive the assessed rate for the undeveloped space. It was based on permits taken out on an adjacent warehouse as discussed earlier that day, file #64641 (Exhibit C2 page 9). As such, the undeveloped areas would be assessed at \$7.50 psf and the developed areas would remain unchanged at \$8.25 psf. The Board has set out the Income Approach calculations as agreed upon by the parties as follows (Exhibit C2 page 7):

	Rentable Area (SF)	Rate (SF)	PGI	Vacancy & NR (%)	EGI	Shortfall/ Op Costs	Cap Rate (%)	NOI	Assessment
49 Aero Dr NE	65,000	\$8.25	\$536,250	10.75	\$478,603	\$18,038	7.25	\$460,566	\$6,352,629
47 Aero Dr NE	101,087	\$8.25	\$833,968	10.75	\$744,316	\$28,052	7.25	\$716,265	\$9,879,511

Total \$16,232,141

	Rentable Area (SF)	Rate (SF)	PGI	Vacancy & NR (%)	EGI	Shortfall/ Op Costs	Cap Rate (%)	NOI	Assessment
49 Aero Dr NE	38,875	\$8.25	\$320,719	10.75	\$286,241	\$10,788	7.25	\$275,454	\$3,799,361
49 Aero Dr NE (undeveloped)	26,125	\$7.50	\$195,938	10.75	\$174,874	\$ 7,250	7.25	\$167,625	\$2,312,063
47 Aero Dr NE	25,751	\$8.25	\$212,446	10.75	\$189,608	\$ 7,146	7.25	\$182,462	\$2,516,716
47 Aero Dr NE (undeveloped)	75,336	\$7.50	\$565,020	10.75	\$504,280	\$20,906	7.25	\$483,375	\$6,667,236

Total \$15,295,376

The Board finds the explanation for the assessed rates reasonable and notes the Complainant's agreement as well.

Board's Decision:

The decision of the Board is to revise the 2011 assessment for the subject property from \$16,230,000 to \$15,290,000 (truncated).

DATED AT THE CITY OF CALGARY THIS 2 DAY OF DECEMBER 2011.

Lana J. Wood Presiding Officer

APPENDIX "A"

DOCUMENTS PRESENTED AT THE HEARING AND CONSIDERED BY THE BOARD:

EXHIBIT NO.	ITEM	
1. C1 2. C2 3. R1	Complainant's Submission Complainant's Rebuttal Respondent's Submission	

An appeal may be made to the Court of Queen's Bench on a question of law or jurisdiction with respect to a decision of an assessment review board.

Any of the following may appeal the decision of an assessment review board:

- (a) the complainant;
- (b) an assessed person, other than the complainant, who is affected by the decision;
- (c) the municipality, if the decision being appealed relates to property that is within the boundaries of that municipality;
- (d) the assessor for a municipality referred to in clause (c).

An application for leave to appeal must be filed with the Court of Queen's Bench within 30 days after the persons notified of the hearing receive the decision, and notice of the application for leave to appeal must be given to

- (a) the assessment review board, and
- (b) any other persons as the judge directs.

SUBJECT	PROPERTY TYPE	PROPERTY SUB - TYPE	ISSUE	SUB - ISSUE
CARB	Warehouse	Warehouse Multi Tenant	Income Approach	Net Market Rent/
				Lease Rates